

1	ROBERT C. NIESLEY, ESQ. (SBN 131373) KIRSTEN A. ROE, ESQ. (SBN 222513) WATT, TIEDER, HOFFAR & FITZGERALD, L.L.P. 2040 Main Street, Ste. 300	
2		
3	Irvine, CA 92618 949-852-6700 (Telephone)	
4	949-261-0771 (Facsimile)	
5	Attorneys For Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America	
6		
7	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	SAN FRANCISCO	
10	SAN	FRANCISCO
11		
12	TRAVELERS CASUALTY AND SURETY COMPANY OF AMERICA, a Connecticut) CASE NO. C 03 – 5746 PJH
13	Corporation,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]) JUDGMENT
14	Plaintiff, Counter-Defendant,	<u> </u>
15	vs.))
16	DENNIS J. AMOROSO CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., a California corporation; AMOROSO INVESTMENTS, LLC, a California limited liability corporation; DENNIS J. AMOROSO, an individual; and GERDA AMOROSO, an individual,))
17))
18))
19	Defendants, Counter-Claimants.) }
20	And Related Counterclaim.))
21		The parties to this action, plaintiff
22		TRAVELERS CASUALTY AND SURETY
23		COMPANY OF AMERICA ("Plaintiff") and
24		defendants DENNIS J. AMOROSO
25		CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., AMOROSO
26		INVESTMENTS, LLC, DENNIS J.
27		AMOROSO, and GERDA AMOROSO
28		1
	STIPULATION AN	ND [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

other orders issued by the United States Bankruptcy Court either in the Personal Bankruptcy Case or in 1 the Corporate Bankruptcy Case, are approved by this Court and provide the sole means and mechanism 2 by which Plaintiff is to be paid pursuant to the settlement between Plaintiff and Defendants and pursuant 3 to the Judgment to be entered in favor of Plaintiff against Defendants in this action. 4 STIPULATION 5 WHEREFORE, NOW, Plaintiff and 6 Defendants, and each of them jointly and 7 severally, agree and stipulate, through their 8 respective attorneys of record, as follows: 9 Defendants hereby agree that all of Defendants' Counterclaims against Plaintiff shall be 1. 10 dismissed with prejudice. This includes all remaining Counterclaims held by either and any or all of the 11 Defendants, including Defendants' original Counterclaim filed March 19, 2004, and the Corporate 12 13 Defendants' Amended Counterclaim filed June 16, 2004. Defendants hereby acknowledge and agree to a final judgment validating TRAVELERS' 14 2... Writ of Attachment issued by this Court on February 13, 2004 pursuant to this Court's Right to Attach 15 Order and Order for Issuance of Writ of Attachment dated February 11, 2004, subject to and in 16 accordance with the Term Sheet and Confirmed Plan of Debtors. 17 upul d 5,200 6 18 19 Richard Miller, Esq. 20 Scott Murphy, Esq. MILLER LAW FIRM, P.C. 21 4310 Madison Avenue Kansas City, MI 64111 22 (816) 531-0755 (Telephone) (816) 561-6361 (Facsimile) 23 Attorneys for Defendants 24 25 Dated: ///www.1,2006 Robert C. Niesley, Esa 26 Kirsten A. Roe, Esq. 27 WATT, TIEDER, HOFFAR & FITZGERALD, LLP 2040 Main Street, Suite 300 28 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 852-6700 (Telephone) (949) 261-0771 (Facsimile) Attorneys for Plaintiff

[FROPOSED] ORDER

WHEREFORE, NOW, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

- 1. All of Defendants' Counterclaims against Plaintiff are hereby dismissed with prejudice. This includes all remaining Counterclaims held by either and any or all of the Defendants, including Defendants' original Counterclaim filed March 19, 2004, and the Corporate Defendants' Amended Counterclaim filed June 16, 2004.
- 2. Judgment is hereby entered against each and all of the Defendants, DENNIS J. AMOROSO CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., AMOROSO INVESTMENTS, LLC, DENNIS J. AMOROSO, and GERDA AMOROSO, validating TRAVELERS CASUALTY AND SURETY COMPANY OF AMERICA's Writ of Attachment in Defendants' assets which was issued by this Court on February 13, 2004 pursuant to this Court's Right to Attach Order and Order for Issuance of Writ of Attachment dated February 11, 2004, subject to and in accordance with the Term Sheet and Confirmed Plan of Debtors.
- 3. The Term Sheet, the Confirmed Plan in the Personal Bankruptcy Case, and any and all other orders issued by the United States Bankruptcy Court either in the Personal Bankruptcy Case or in the Corporate Bankruptcy Case, are approved by this Court and provide the sole means and mechanism by which Plaintiff is to be paid pursuant to the settlement between Plaintiff and Defendants and pursuant to the Judgment to be entered in favor of Plaintiff against Defendants in this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED. SDISTRI Dated: 5/12/06 IT IS SO ORDERED Judge Phyllis J. Hamilton STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT